

TO DO OR NOT TO DO A PHD?

Adela Perolla, Gentjan Asllanaj, Margarita Gjata Resuli
University of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Tirana Albania

Correspondent E-mail: adelaperolla19@gmail.com

Abstract

Deciding to embark on a Doctor of Philosophy journey is a significant life choice that necessitates a detailed evaluation of its influence on an individual's career path and personal development. This study delves into the intricacies of making such a critical decision, contrasting the internal and external motivations with the array of challenges and opportunities that come with doctoral studies. Through a comprehensive review of related literature, this research unpacks the dichotomy of the Doctor of Philosophy experience—its potential for deep academic engagement and personal transformation versus the obstacles of financial hardship, mental health concerns, and the uncertain job landscape for graduates. It also explores the effect of discipline-specific prospects, geographical disparities, and personal career goals on decision-making. The discussion broadens to examine how the alignment of doctoral efforts with long-term professional aims and the influence of academic mentorship shapes this crucial decision. Furthermore, it contemplates the changing dynamics of Doctor of Philosophy qualifications in the modern academic and professional context, advocating for a decision-making process that weighs both the intellectual satisfaction derived from research and the practical considerations after earning the degree. This literature review aims to illuminate the multifaceted factors that prospective doctoral candidates consider, assisting them in making well-informed decisions that align with their educational aspirations and life objectives.

Keywords: Doctor of Philosophy, decision-making, career development, challenges, opportunities, academic engagement, job market, mentorship.

TË BËSH APO TË MOS BËSH DOKTORATURË (PHD)?

Abstrakt

Vendimi për të nisur një udhëtim Doktorature është një zgjedhje jetësore e rëndësishme që kërkon një vlerësim të hollësishëm të ndikimit të tij në rrugëtimin profesional dhe zhvillimin personal të një individit. Ky studim zhytet në detajet e ndërmarrjes së një vendimi kaq kritik, duke krahasuar motivimet e brendshme dhe të jashtme me një sërë sfidash dhe mundësish që vijnë sëbashku me studimet doktorale. Përmes një rishikimi gjithëpërfshirës të literaturës së lidhur me këtë subjekt, ky hulumtim shpalos dikotominë e përvojës së Doktoraturës—potencialin për një angazhim të thellë akademik dhe për një transformim personal përballë pengesave të vështirësive financiare, çështjeve të shëndetit mendor dhe peizazhit të pasigurt të tregut të punës për të diplomuarit. Ai gjithashtu eksploron efektin e perspektivave specifike të disiplinës, ndryshimeve gjeografike dhe qëllimeve personale të karrierës në procesin e vendimmarrjes. Diskutimi zgjerohet për të ekzaminuar se si përshtatja e përpjekjeve doktorale me qëllimet afatgjata profesionale dhe ndikimi i mentorimit akademik e formësojnë këtë vendim jetësor. Për më tepër, ai reflekton mbi dinamikën në ndryshim, të kualifikimeve të Doktoraturës në

kontekstin modern akademik dhe profesional, duke avokuar për një proces vendimmarrjeje që merr parasysh kënaqësinë intelektuale që rrjedh nga kërkimi dhe konsideratat praktike pas marrjes së diplomës. Ky rishikim i literaturës synon të ndriçojë faktorët shumëdimensionalë që kandidatët potencialë për doktoraturë i marrin në konsideratë, duke i ndihmuar ata të bëjnë vendime të mirëinformuara dhe që përputhen me aspiratat e tyre arsimore dhe objektivat e jetës.

Fjalë kyçe: Doktoraturë, vendimmarrje, zhvillim karriere, sfida, mundësi, angazhim akademik, tregu i punës, mentorim.

Introduction

Deliberating on whether to undertake a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) program is a decision of profound importance, involving substantial investment in time, finances, and personal effort. The pursuit of a PhD extends beyond academic achievement, significantly influencing one's career path and personal growth. It is imperative for individuals contemplating this significant academic journey to fully appreciate its implications, including the opportunities for career development, the potential to contribute significantly to one's field of study, and the challenges that may arise, such as impacts on mental well-being and financial considerations (1-3). As such, those considering a PhD must carefully evaluate these aspects, aligning them with their professional aspirations and readiness to meet the demanding requirements of doctoral research. This article is dedicated to an in-depth exploration and synthesis of varied viewpoints and rigorous research findings related to the dual aspects of pursuing a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD). Its primary aim is to dissect the intricate balance between the considerable benefits that accompany the completion of a doctoral program, such as enhanced professional trajectories, significant contributions to the body of knowledge, and the personal satisfaction derived from scholarly achievement, and the substantial challenges that candidates may encounter. These challenges range from the financial investment required to sustain several years of study, to the mental and emotional toll that the intensity of doctoral research can exact, alongside navigating the increasingly competitive landscape of academic and research-oriented employment markets. By weaving together evidence-based insights on these topics, the article seeks to present a nuanced and multifaceted perspective to individuals contemplating embarking on the rigorous journey toward a PhD. The ultimate goal is to provide these prospective doctoral candidates with a comprehensive understanding of the complexity of doctoral studies, thereby supporting them in making informed and strategic decisions that align with their long-term academic ambitions and professional aspirations, while also considering their personal well-being and financial planning.

The Value of a PhD

1. Career Advancements

Earning a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) degree stands as a pivotal gateway to engaging in scholarly and investigative careers, often unlocking access to positions that typically necessitate such advanced qualifications. This prestigious academic credential symbolizes a comprehensive understanding of a particular field of study alongside the proficiency to perform autonomous, in-depth research. As such, it distinguishes individuals in the competitive landscapes of academia and specialized research sectors, where a PhD is frequently a fundamental requirement (4,5).

Within the academic sphere, possession of a PhD is almost universally required for tenure-track roles, including professorships and lectureships. These positions demand a robust commitment to advancing a discipline's body of knowledge through both teaching and the publication of novel research, as well as the mentoring of emerging scholars (6). The emphasis on a doctoral degree for these roles highlights the premium placed on the rigorous expertise and research competencies cultivated during the PhD journey, enabling individuals to contribute significantly to their academic fields.

Moreover, in research institutions and analytical think tanks, the PhD credential is esteemed for indicating an individual's capability for conducting detailed, pioneering studies that can lead to significant breakthroughs or enhancements within their specialization. Such roles often require a demonstrated history of research and scholarly publication—skills that are refined through the doctoral research process. Holders of a PhD are also in demand for their specialized knowledge, which is critical for leading major research initiatives, securing project funding, and engaging in collaborative projects at the international level (7, 8).

Beyond academia and research-focused entities, the PhD qualification is increasingly recognized in the industry, governmental, and non-profit sectors where advanced analytical skills and domain-specific knowledge are imperative. In these contexts, PhD-trained professionals contribute by spearheading innovation, shaping policy formulation, and addressing multifaceted challenges through research-driven methods (9).

Thus, pursuing a PhD does not merely open avenues into academic and research-centric positions but also furnishes individuals with the expertise and capabilities essential for excelling in these roles. It enables significant contributions to the advancement of knowledge and societal progress (2, 4,9).

2. Contribution to Knowledge

Doctoral students hold a pivotal role in the scholarly ecosystem, with the responsibility and privilege to not only assimilate existing knowledge but to extend the frontiers of understanding in their specialized fields. The doctoral journey, underscored by rigorous methodological training and scholarly inquiry, prepares these students to undertake research that can question and sometimes overturn long-held paradigms (10).

Through the PhD dissertation, an embodiment of years of dedicated research, doctoral candidates generate novel insights that often lead to the publication of findings in high-impact academic journals. These contributions are critical in paving new avenues for scholarly inquiry, thus serving as a springboard for subsequent intellectual endeavors within the discipline (11). Moreover, their investigative pursuits frequently result in methodological advancements, enriching the toolkit available for future research explorations (12).

The original research conducted by doctoral candidates does not exist in a vacuum but contributes to a dynamic knowledge base. By engaging with the academic community through presentations at conferences and collaborative projects, PhD students ensure that their research

findings percolate through and invigorate the scholarly dialogue, thereby fostering an environment conducive to innovation (13,14).

Furthermore, the scholarly output of doctoral research often transcends academic boundaries, impacting policy, industry standards, and societal norms. It is through this dissemination of knowledge that doctoral students contribute to the broader application of research, affecting change and informing decision-making processes in various sectors (15).

In light of these contributions, the pursuit of a doctoral degree is not only an individual scholarly endeavor but a commitment to the collective advancement of academia and society. It is a testament to the doctoral process's transformative power, both for the individual scholar and the wider intellectual community.

3. Personal Growth and Skills Development

A PhD education is quintessentially about nurturing a suite of advanced intellectual skills. Central among these is the development of robust critical thinking skills, which is indispensable for academic success and beyond. Doctoral candidates are systematically trained to evaluate and synthesize complex information, critique arguments rigorously, and construct well-founded positions on a variety of topics, and practices that are fundamental in scholarly research (16).

Additionally, the research skills fostered throughout the PhD process are comprehensive and versatile, ranging from the formulation of pertinent research questions to the meticulous application of methodological tools and techniques. Doctoral students become proficient in designing robust studies, adept at utilizing a spectrum of methodological approaches, and skilled in data analytics—abilities that enable them to derive meaningful interpretations and insights from their research endeavors (17).

Furthermore, PhD training is an exercise in cultivating sophisticated problem-solving skills. Through the course of their studies, doctoral students encounter a variety of research-related challenges, necessitating innovative solutions. They learn to apply creative reasoning and strategic thinking to navigate and resolve complex problems, skills that are transferable to numerous professional contexts (18).

The cumulative effect of this skill development is the production of scholars who are not only experts in their chosen field but also possess a transferable set of competencies that are highly valued in a multitude of professional domains. The process of acquiring a PhD is thus as much about mastering a specific area of knowledge as it is about developing a rich toolkit of cognitive and analytical skills that are the hallmark of scholarly and professional excellence.

Table 1. Key points Individuals considering a PhD must engage in a reflective process

Aspect	Details
Career Advancements	Opens doors to academic and research positions, offering unique career paths in academia and research.
Contribution to Knowledge	Provides the opportunity to contribute original research and new insights to the field.
Personal Growth and Skills Development	Cultivates critical thinking, advanced research methodologies, and problem-solving capabilities.
Time and Financial Investment	Requires consideration of program duration and potential financial commitments.
Emotional and Mental Health Considerations	Involves navigating stress and pressure that may impact mental health.
Job Market Saturation	Necessitates analysis of job market conditions and potential for overqualification in certain sectors.
Field of Study	Emphasizes the importance of aligning PhD focus with career prospects and personal interests.
Geographical Location	Highlights how the value of a PhD can differ based on regional academic and industry demands.
Personal Motivations and Goals	Encourages reflection on personal motivations and compatibility with career aspirations.

Making the decision

When contemplating a Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) program, individuals need to conduct a deliberate analysis of the potential advantages and the associated challenges, ensuring that such a pursuit aligns with their personal situation and long-term objectives. Prospective students must consider the professional enrichment offered by a PhD, including the opportunity for extensive research, the potential for academic tenure, and access to a network of scholarly peers (19). They must also assess the high levels of autonomy and the intellectual rigor required for producing original research, which can lead to significant personal and academic satisfaction (20).

Conversely, potential drawbacks, such as the time required to complete the degree, often spanning multiple years, the financial implications of foregoing full-time employment, and the possibility of accruing student debt, must be weighed (21). The process also demands considerable personal sacrifice and can impose stress, which can affect one's mental health and well-being (22). As such, alignment with personal values and career goals is paramount.

Individual motivations for undertaking a PhD—whether for the pursuit of knowledge, a passion for research, or career progression—must be critically evaluated alongside the practical realities of post-PhD employability and the current state of the job market within one's field (9). It is crucial to reflect on the potential impact on one's personal life and consider support systems available during and after the PhD process.

In essence, the decision to pursue a PhD should be informed by a strategic consideration of how it complements one's academic aspirations, professional trajectory, and personal life. This contemplative approach to decision-making, informed by a thorough understanding of the PhD journey's demands and benefits, is imperative for ensuring that the commitment to doctoral study is in harmony with one's broader life plans (2).

Conclusion

In summary, the choice to pursue a doctoral degree is a critical one, with substantial implications for professional development and personal fulfillment. The PhD journey presents opportunities for specialized skill development and can pave the way to academic and research positions, balanced by significant demands on time, finances, and personal well-being.

Individuals considering a PhD must engage in a reflective process, carefully examining how the degree aligns with their unique professional objectives and personal circumstances. It is essential to seek guidance from experienced academics within their discipline, whose insights can shed light on the doctoral experience and the post-graduation landscape.

In essence, thorough reflection and mentorship are invaluable as prospective candidates approach this decision, enabling them to chart a course that is professionally rewarding and personally enriching.

References

1. Lovitts, B. E. (2001). *Leaving the Ivory Tower: The Causes and Consequences of Departure from Doctoral Study*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield.
2. Golde, C. M. (2005). The Role of the Department and Discipline in Doctoral Student Attrition: Lessons from Four Departments. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 76, 669-700. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/jhe.2005.0039>
3. Evans, T., Bira, L., Gastelum, J. *et al.* Evidence for a mental health crisis in graduate education. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 282–284 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4089>
4. Cyranoski, D., Gilbert, N., Ledford, H., Nayar, A., & Yahia, M. (2011). Education: The PhD factory. *Nature*, 472(7343), 276–279. <https://doi.org/10.1038/472276a>
5. Matthew M. Mars & Sheila Slaughter & Gary Rhoades, 2008. "The State-Sponsored Student Entrepreneur," *The Journal of Higher Education*, Taylor & Francis Journals, vol. 79(6), pages 638-670, November.
6. Gould J. (2015). How to build a better PhD. *Nature*, 528(7580), 22–25. <https://doi.org/10.1038/528022a>
7. Horta, H. (2009). Global and National Prominent Universities: Internationalization, Competitiveness and the Role of the State. *Higher Education*, 58(3), 387–405. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40269190>
8. Nerad, M. (2010). Globalization and the Internationalization of Graduate Education: A Macro and Micro View. *Canadian Journal of Higher Education*, 40(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.47678/cjhe.v40i1.1566>

9. Sauermann H, Roach M (2012) Science PhD Career Preferences: Levels, Changes, and Advisor Encouragement. *PLoS ONE* 7(5): e36307. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036307>
10. James, R., & Baldwin, G. (1999). *Eleven Practices of Effective Postgraduate Research Supervisors*. Melbourne: The Centre for the Study of Higher Education & The School of Graduate Studies, University of Melbourne. http://melbournecshe.unimelb.edu.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/1761502/11practices.pdf
11. Thomson, P., & Walker, M. (2010). Doctoral education in context: The changing nature of the doctorate and doctoral students. In M. Walker, & P. Thomson (Eds.), *The Routledge doctoral supervisor's companion* (pp. 9-26). Abingdon: Routledge.
12. Peters, M. A., & Roberts, P. (2008). "Preliminary Material". In *Neoliberalism, Higher Education and Research*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789087906306_001
13. Herrington, J., McKenney, S., Reeves, T. C., & Oliver, R. (2007). Design-based research and doctoral students: Guidelines for preparing a dissertation proposal. In C. Montgomerie, & J. Seale (Eds.), *Proceedings of World Conference on Educational Multimedia, Hypermedia and Telecommunications 2007* (pp. 4089-4097) <http://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks/1612/>
14. Goff, W. M., & Getenet, S.. (2017). Design based research in doctoral studies: Adding a new dimension to doctoral research. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 12, 107-121. Retrieved from <http://www.informingscience.org/Publications/3761>
15. Sampson, H. (2019). 'Fluid fields' and the dynamics of risk in social research. *Qualitative Research*, 19(2), 131-147. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794117746085>
16. Ennis, R. H. (1993). Critical thinking assessment. *Theory Into Practice*, 32(3), 179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849309543594>
17. Creswell, J.W. and Creswell, J.D. (2017) *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 4th Edition, Sage, Newbury Park.
18. Polya, G. (1945). *How to solve it; a new aspect of mathematical method*. Princeton University Press.
19. Lovitts *, B. E. (2005). Being a good course-taker is not enough: a theoretical perspective on the transition to independent research. *Studies in Higher Education*, 30(2), 137–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070500043093>
20. Walker, George & Golde, Chris & Jones, Laura & Bueschel, Andrea & Hutchings, Pat. (2009). *The Formation of Scholars: Rethinking Doctoral Education for the Twenty-First Century*. International Journal for Academic Development. 14.
21. Nettles, M. T., & Millett, C. M. (2006). *Three magic letters: Getting to Ph.D.* Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
22. Stubb, J., Pyhältö, K., & Lonka, K. (2011). Balancing between inspiration and exhaustion: PhD students' experienced socio-psychological well-being. *Studies in Continuing Education*, 33(1), 33–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2010.515572>